Site Name	OPTION OUTCOME/ POSSIBLE APPROACH
List of Countryside Estates Country Parks	
Cannock Chase Country Park	A partnership arrangement (Option C) which includes Chasewater Country Park and Sevens Road site has been chosen as the most viable option because it would deliver the most benefits against the CSFs; provide greater operational efficiencies through pooling of resources and expertise; improve the potential to access external funding; offer more and better coordinated volunteering opportunities; and an opportunity for a single management plan, branding and promotion. A larger area of greenspace (with the incorporation of partners' land) could also offer flexibility and help zone / manage users more effectively, thereby protecting some of the more sensitive areas of Cannock Chase. Partnership arrangements already exist e.g. the AONB and SAC Partnership and there are numerous other adjacent landowners i.e. National Trust and Forestry Commission who may be interested in partnership working. Other groups also expressed an interest in volunteering on these sites in the full public consultation. While this Option was less favoured in the full public consultation most of the concerns were around working with the private sector. This proposal has been designed to offset those fears. Developing a partnership arrangement may take some time to establish but in the interim, there are opportunities to generate more income particularly around the Visitor Centres which will be explored.
Apedale Community Country Park	Transferring the site to a charitable organisation (Option B) some of whom have expressed an interest in the site or a partnership arrangement (Option C) because of its close proximity to land owned by the District Council or another charitable body have been chosen as the most viable options for this site. These options would deliver the most benefits against the CSFs; provide greater operational efficiencies through pooling of resources and expertise; improve the potential to access external funding; offer more and better co-ordinated volunteering opportunities; and an opportunity for a single management plan to deliver better outcomes across a wider area. Other groups also expressed an interest in volunteering on the site in the full public consultation. These options may take some time to develop and in the interim, opportunities to generate more income from the site such as car park charging, further development of the visitor offer and increased volunteering opportunities will be explored.
Consall Nature Park	A partnership arrangement (Option C) or transferring the site to a charitable organisation (Option B), some of whom have expressed an interest in the site, have been chosen as the most viable options for this site. Other sites within the Churnet Valley e.g Deep Hayes and Greenway Bank Country Parks and some of the smaller sites could also be included. These options would deliver the most benefits against the CSFs; provide greater operational efficiencies through pooling of resources and expertise; improve the potential to access external funding; offer more and better co-ordinated volunteering opportunities; and an opportunity for a single management plan to deliver better outcomes across a wider area. Other groups also expressed an interest in volunteering on the site in the full public consultation. These options may take some time to develop and in the interim, opportunities to generate more income from the site such as car park charging, further development of the visitor offer and increased volunteering opportunities will be explored.
Deep Hayes Country Park	The opportunities for development on this small site are limited therefore a partnership arrangement (Option C) which includes other sites within the Churnet Valley has been chosen as the most viable option in support of the wider Churnet Valley offer. A partnership arrangement would provide greater operational efficiencies through pooling of resources and expertise; improve the potential to access external funding; deliver the most benefits against the CSFs; and offer more and better co-ordinated volunteering opportunities. The CVLLP legacy project group has also expressed an interest in partnership working. Other groups also expressed an interest in volunteering on the site in the full public consultation. This option may take some time to develop and in the interim, opportunities to generate more income from the site such as car park charging, further development of the visitor offer and increased volunteering opportunities will be explored.
Greenway Bank Country Park	A partnership arrangement (Option C) including other sites within the Churnet Valley has been chosen as the most viable option for this site to support the wider Churnet Valley offer. A partnership arrangement would provide greater operational efficiencies through pooling of resources and expertise; improve the potential to access external funding; deliver the most benefits against the CSFs; and offer more and better co-ordinated volunteering opportunities. The CVLLP legacy project group has expressed an interest in partnership working on this site. Other groups also expressed an interest in volunteering on the site in the full public consultation. This option may take some time to develop but there are opportunities to generate more income particularly around the Visitor Centre, from the Reservoir, car park charging and increased volunteering that will be explored in the interim.

Chasewater including Norton Bog	A partnership arrangement (Option C) which includes Cannock Chase Country Park and Sevens Road site has been chosen as the most viable option because it would deliver the most benefits against the CSFs; provide greater operational efficiencies through pooling of resources and expertise; improve the potential to access external funding; offer more and better coordinated volunteering opportunities; and an opportunity for a single management plan, branding and promotion. A larger area of greenspace (with the incorporation of partners' land) could also offer flexibility and help zone users more effectively, thereby removing some of the visitor pressures on Cannock Chase. Partnership arrangements already exist on Cannock Chase and there are numerous other adjacent landowners i.e. National Trust, Forestry Commission and District Councils who may be interested in partnership working. Other groups also expressed an interest in volunteering on these sites in the full public consultation. However, it could take some considerable time to develop and establish the partnership arrangement but in the interim, there are significant opportunities to generate more income particularly around the Visitor Centre because of the high visitor numbers, from the Reservoir and by enhancing the existing commercial offer in ways that support the attractiveness of the Park.
Picnic Areas	
Froghall	Site is more of a local recreational resource with limited potential for development. Transferring the management to a local community group (Option B) or managing the site within a partnership arrangement (Option C) has been chosen as the most viable options. Either of these options would deliver more benefits against the CSFs than other options. The CVLLP legacy project has expressed an interest in this site as part of a wider Churnet Valley offer.
Oakamoor	Site is more of a local recreational resource with limited potential for development. Transferring the management to a local community group (Option B) or managing the site within a partnership arrangement (Option C) has been chosen as the most viable options. Either of these options would deliver more benefits against the CSFs than other options. The CVLLP legacy project has expressed an interest in this site as part of a wider Churnet Valley offer.
Sevens Road, Cannock Wood	Site is more of a local recreational resource with limited potential for development but because of its location there may be an opportunity to manage it with other larger sites e.g. Cannock Chase or Chasewater Country Parks. Failing that, transferring the management to a local community group (Option B) has been chosen as the only other viable option because the group would be able to access funding unavailable to SCC and because this option would deliver more benefits against the CSFs than other options.
Hanbury Common	Site is more of a local recreational resource with limited potential for development. Transferring the management to a local community group (Option B) has been chosen as the only viable option and there is a well-established volunteer offer on the site which could be formalised.
Brownshore Lane, Essington	Site is more of a local recreational resource with limited potential for development. Transferring the management to a local community group has been chosen as the only viable option. The group would be able to access funding unavailable to SCC and this option would deliver more benefits against the CSFs than other options. It already has a good volunteer base which could be built on and formalised.
Chillington	This site is no more than a car park leased to SCC for use by people walking the Staffordshire Way. The site can be maintained in the meantime by volunteers already assisting with the wider country parks. Alternatively consideration may need to be given to terminating the lease because there is less confidence that a local volunteer group can be found.
Hanchurch Hills	Site is more of a local recreational resource with limited potential for development. Transferring the management to a local community group (Option B) has been chosen as the only viable option because the group would be able to access funding unavailable to SCC and because this option would deliver more benefits against the CSFs than other options. There has been some interest in the site from voluntary groups in the full public consultation.
Wimblebury Road, Cannock	Site is more of a local recreational resource with limited potential for development. Transferring the management to a local community group (Option B) has been chosen as the only viable option and the Parish Council has already expressed an interest in managing the site. Management by the Parish Council will ensure that the local community has a greater say in its management and the site will deliver more benefits against the CSFs than other options.
Hatherton Reservoir, Cheslyn Hay	Site is more of a local recreational resource with limited potential for development. Transferring the management to a local community group (Option B) has been chosen as the only viable option because the group would be able to access funding unavailable to SCC and because this option would deliver more benefits against the CSFs than other options. It already has a good volunteer base which could be built on and formalised.
Disused Railway Walks Greenways	
Stafford to Newport	This route is part of NCN 55 and is well used now that the Newport section is open. It has a good volunteer base in sections and interest has been expressed by Sustrans in the full public consultation for partnership working. Transferring the management to local groups (Option B) or increasing the volunteer activity are considered to be the only viable options for this route. Both would deliver more benefits against the CSFs than other options.

Oakamoor to Denstone	This route is an important spine linking other sites, although it has no potential for development in isolation. Transferring its management to a local community group (Option B) or if possible managing the route within a partnership arrangement (Option C) has been chosen as the most viable options for the route. Either of these options would deliver more benefits against the CSFs than other options. The CVLLP legacy project has expressed an interest in the route as part of a wider Churnet Valley offer. It could take some time to develop either of these arrangements and in the meantime, increasing the voluntary contribution will be explored.
Leek to Rushton	This route is an important spine linking other sites, although it has no potential for development in isolation. Transferring its management to a local community group (Option B) or if possible managing the route within a partnership arrangement (Option C) has been chosen as the most viable options for the route. Either of these options would deliver more benefits against the CSFs than other options. The CVLLP legacy project has expressed an interest in the route as part of a wider Churnet Valley offer. It could take some time to develop either of these arrangements and in the meantime, increasing the voluntary contribution will be explored.